## AI-assisted translation and the systematisation of multi-word units and realia in tourism discourse: A contrastive study of English and German

Francesca Poli\*1 and Alessandra Lombardi\*2

 $^1$ Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore - Milano (-) – Italie  $^2$ Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Brescia – Italie

## Résumé

Specialised tourism discourse (STD) offers fertile ground for studying multi-word units (MWU), collocations, and complex noun phrases (CNP; Lombardi, 2013; Torresi, 2013), often involving realia - terms conveying culture-specific references and emotional resonance. These units are central to destination marketing, where language serves as both a tool for promoting identity and a medium of cultural mediation (Malamatidou, 2024). While extensively examined in traditional translation workflows, less is known about how AI-assisted systems handle them in STD.

This study enquires what patterns of variation emerge in AI-assisted translations of tourism discourse MWU, CNP, and realia and how effective are these tools for specialised communicative purposes?

Drawing on a corpus of Italian tourism websites promoting Brescia (https://www.visitbrescia.it) and their English and German versions, we compare AI- and non-AI-assisted translations of 10 representative items ( $visite\ guidate$ ,  $pianura\ bresciana$ , etc.) ranging from fully ( $olio\ EVO$ ) to semi-fixed ( $appuntamenti\ imperdibili$ ) and freer combinations. The tools selected are ChatGPT (premium) and DeepL (free). Human translations were extracted, then AI-generated outputs produced both via zero-shot and few-shots prompting (Armamento et al., 2024).

Our analysis shows notable variation in strategies across and within languages, even when AI is used. Deficiencies include undertranslation (DOCG), overtranslation (Die sanfte Landschaft der Brescianer Ebene), referential inaccuracies (secondary exhibitions), and pragmatic-stylistic infelicities (unmissable appointments). Realia translation proved particularly problematic, with cultural mediation needed to evaluate alternatives. In this context, DeepL performed poorly.

The study proposes pedagogical recommendations: combining data-driven learning with AI-assisted tools can help learners develop critical and cultural awareness when translating MWU/CNP denoting culture specific items in STD (cfr. Snell-Hornby et al. 1997). Consistent with research on plurilingual transfer from English L2 to German L3 collocations in STD (Lombardi & Poli, forthcoming), our findings highlight the importance of supporting learners in recognising and assessing AI-generated outputs, and leveraging corpus evidence

<sup>\*</sup>Intervenant

to refine translation choices.

## References

Armamento, P. J. et al. (2024). Empowering Tech Education: Harnessing Prompt Engineering Techniques in Large Language Models. In *ICERI2024 Proceedings*, IATED, 8817-8826.

Lombardi, A. (2013). Usuelle Wortverbindungen und wiederkehrende Kom- munikationshandlungen in touristischen Texten. Das Projekt TouriTerm. In D. Höhmann (Hrsg.), Tourismuskommunikation. Im Spannungsfeld von Sprach- und Kulturkontakt, Peter Lang, 323-347.

Lombardi A. & Poli F. (forthcoming). Bridging English L2 and German L3: A plurilingual approach for enhancing collocational knowledge in tourism as a specialised discourse.

Malamatidou, S. (2024). Translating tourism: Cross-linguistic differences of alternative worldviews, Palgrave.

Snell-Hornby, M. et al. (eds.) (1997). Translation as Intercultural Communication, Benjamins Translation Library 20.

Torresi, I. (2013). Go for the real thing: Idiomatising translated tourist promotion. In D. Höhmann (Hrsg.), *Tourismuskommunikation*. Im Spannungsfeld von Sprach- und Kulturkontakt, Peter Lang, 173-188.